

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,495	05/10/2005	Andrew C. Lewin	124-1118	4506
23117 7590 11/00/2010 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PUNNOOSE, ROY M	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2886	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/534,495	LEWIN ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROY PUNNOOSE	2886			
All Participants: Status of Application: Rejected					
(1) Examiner Roy Punnoose.	(3)				
(2) Atty. Stanley Spooner.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 4 June 2010	Time: <u>8:21 AM</u>				
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: 1-37					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/ROY M. PUNNOOSE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2886	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/534,495

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution, the Examiner contacted and had an interview with Atty. Stanley Spooner on 04 June 2010. The Examiner proposed an in-person interview at the Office in the presence of the Examiner's supervisor, SPE Tarifur Chowdhury, to discuss the claims, possible allowable subject matter and/or any other pending issues. However, Atty. Spooner declined the offer and stated that "an in-person interview at the Office would not be feasible because his clients are in the UK." Atty. Spooner stated that he's willing to correct any errors via a telephone interview.

This interview summary was generated to correct an error (incorrect box checked) in Part III of the Examiner Initiated Interview Summary mailed on 06/30/2010.